



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,162	11/13/2003	Frederick Wilkins	2003P86279US	8203
37462	7590	05/03/2010	EXAMINER	
LANDO & ANASTASI, LLP ONE MAIN STREET, SUITE 1100 CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142			PHASGE, ARUN S	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	1795			
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
05/03/2010	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@LALaw.com
gengelson@LALaw.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/712,162	Applicant(s) WILKINS ET AL.
	Examiner Arun S. Phasge	Art Unit 1795

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 January 2010.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,3,5,7,9-20 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,3,5,7 and 23-28 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/27/09, 1/13/10, 8/16/06, 10/27/05

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 23-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parsi, U.S. Patent 4,871,431 in view of Emery of record.

Parsi discloses a method of treating water comprising passing a first water stream through a depleting compartment of an electrodeionization device, applying an electric current through the device to produce a second water stream, passing either a portion or all the second stream through a cathode compartment of the device to produce a treated water stream (see figure 3 and claim 11). The patent further discloses the circulation of a concentrate through the concentrating and anode compartments of the electrodeionization device (see figure 1). The patent further shows the waste of a portion of the water through the concentrated (see figure 3).

The treated water would have the same properties as recited in claims 3,7, since they are produced by the same type of method. Similar processes can reasonably be

expected to yield products which inherently have the same properties. *In re Spada* USPQ 2d 1655 (CAFC 1990).

The further use of the purified water for household consumption or use is given little or no patentable weight in method of treating water to make it potable, since the water produced by the Parsi patent is capable of being used in these ways.

The Parsi patent fails to disclose the use of a tank or storage vessel, with the removal of a portion of the water from the storage vessel treating it in an electrodeionization device and introducing the treated water back to the storage device.

The Emery patent is cited to show such a use of a treatment system, including the storage tank with a portion of the water being removed, treated and fed back to said tank to produce a more purified water (see col. 4, lines 48-58).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Parsi by the teachings of Emery.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification, because Emery teaches the modification to purify water in a tank by removing a portion of the water, treating it by electrodeionization and returning it to the tank.

Both the Parsi and Emery use purified water being fed to the electrodeionization device, such water is conventionally purified by filtration process, such as the claimed ultrafiltration and microfiltration. Consequently, such use of a conventional technique would have been obvious the one having ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Arun S. Phasge/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

asp